

## 2020 KSCAM Conference

---

**Title: An Existence and Uniqueness Theorem of Stochastic Differential Equations and the Properties of Their Solution**

**Presenter: Chan-Ho Park\*, Mun-Jin Bae, Young-Ho Kim**

Department of Mathematics,  
Changwon National University, Changwon, 51140, Korea  
E-mail: [chanhopark@changwon.ac.kr](mailto:chanhopark@changwon.ac.kr)

**2020 KSCAM Conference**

**August 20, 2020, Korean Society for computational and Applied Mathematics**

---

## Abstract

---

**Abstract:** In this presentation, we first introduce definition of solution of the stochastic differential equation.

We show the existence and uniqueness of solution to stochastic differential equations under weakened Hölder condition and a weakened linear growth condition.

Furthermore, the properties of their solutions investigated and estimate for the error between Picard iterations  $x_n(t)$  and the unique solution  $x(t)$  of stochastic differential equations.

■ We study existence and uniqueness of solutions to a stochastic differential equation:

$$dx(t) = f(x(t), t)dt + g(x(t), t)dB(t), \quad t \in [t_0, T]$$

with initial value  $x(t_0) = x_0$ , where  $0 \leq t_0 < T < \infty$ .

■ Some of the main (mathematical) questions regarding such equation:

- (i) Is there a solution?
- (ii) If there is a solution, is it unique?
- (iii) What kind of properties do solutions have?

**Definition 1 (SDE solution)** We say that the stochastic process  $\{x(t)\}_{t_0 \leq t \leq T}$  is a solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dx(t) = f(x(t), t)dt + g(x(t), t)dB(t) \quad (1)$$

with initial condition  $x(t_0) = x_0$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\{x(t)\}$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted;
- (ii)  $\{f(x(t), t)\} \in \mathcal{L}^1([t_0, T]; R^d)$  and  $\{g(x(t), t)\} \in \mathcal{L}^2([t_0, T]; R^{d \times m})$ ;
- (iii) the integral equation

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(x(s), s)ds + \int_{t_0}^t g(x(s), s)dB(s),$$

hold for every  $t \in [t_0, T]$  with probability 1.

**Definition 2 (Solution uniqueness)** A solution  $\{x(t)\}$  is said to be unique if any other solution  $\{\bar{x}(t)\}$  is indistinguishable with  $\{x(t)\}$ , that

$$P\{x(t) = \bar{x}(t), \text{ for all } t_0 \leq t \leq T\} = 1.$$

In order to obtain the existence of solutions to SDEs, let  $x_0(t) = x_0$  for  $t_0 \leq t \leq T$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and define Picard sequence

$$x_n(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(x_{n-1}(s), s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t g(x_{n-1}(s), s) dB(s). \quad (2)$$

We give the existence and uniqueness theorem to the solution of equation (1) by approximate solutions by means of Picard sequence.

**Theorem 3** ([A2], Mao) Assume that there exist two positive constants  $\bar{K}$  and  $K$  such that

(i) (Lipschitz condition) for all  $x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in [t_0, T]$

$$|f(x, t) - f(\bar{x}, t)|^2 \vee |g(x, t) - g(\bar{x}, t)|^2 \leq \bar{K}|x - \bar{x}|^2$$

(ii) (Linear growth condition) for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [t_0, T]$

$$|f(x, t)|^2 \vee |g(x, t)|^2 \leq K(1 + |x|^2)$$

Then there exists a unique solution  $x(t)$  to equation (1) and the solution belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^2([t_0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Theorem 4 (Existence and uniqueness of solution)** Assume that there exist two positive constants  $\bar{K}$  and  $K$  such that (H1) (Weakened Hölder condition) For any  $x, y \in R^d$  and  $t \in [t_0, T]$ , it follows that

$$|f(x, t) - f(y, t)|^2 \vee |g(x, t) - g(y, t)|^2 \leq \bar{K}|x - y|^{2\alpha},$$

where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  is a constant.

(H2) (Weakened linear growth condition) For any  $t \in [t_0, T]$  it follows that  $f(0, t), g(0, t) \in \mathcal{L}^2([t_0, T])$  it follows that

$$|f(0, t)|^2 \vee |g(0, t)|^2 \leq K,$$

Then there exists a unique solution to the SDEs (1). Moreover, the solution belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^2([t_0, T]; R^d)$ .

■ We prepare two lemmas in order to prove this theorem.

**Lemma 5** *Let  $u(t)$  and  $a(t)$  be continuous functions on  $[0, T]$ . Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 < p \leq 1$  be constants. If  $u(t) \leq k + \int_{t_0}^t a(s)u^p(s)ds$  for  $t \in [t_0, T]$  then*

$$u(t) \leq k \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^t a(s)ds \right)$$

for  $t \in [t_0, T]$

**Lemma 6** *Let the assumption (H1) and (H2) hold. If  $x(t)$  is a solution of (1), then*

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} |x(t)|^2 \right) \leq C \exp \left( 6(T - t_0 + 4)\bar{K}(T - t_0) \right),$$

where  $C = 3E|x_0|^2 + 6(T - t_0 + 4)K(T - t_0)$  with  $C \geq 1$ .

■ **Proof.** For each number  $n \geq 1$ , define the stopping time

$$\tau_n = T \wedge \inf\{t \in [t_0, T] : |x(t)| \geq n\}.$$

Let  $x_n(t) = x(t \wedge \tau_n)$ ,  $t \in [t_0, T]$ . Then  $x_n(t)$  satisfies the following equation

$$x_n(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(x_n(s), s) I_{[t_0, \tau_n]}(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t g(x_n(s), s) I_{[t_0, \tau_n]}(s) dB(s).$$

Using the elementary  $(a + b + c)^2 \leq 3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)$ , the Hölder inequality, condition (H1) and (H2), one can show that

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x_n(s)|^2 \right) \leq C + 6(T - t_0 + 4)\bar{K} \int_{t_0}^t E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq r \leq s} |x_n(r)|^{2\alpha} \right) ds,$$

where  $C = 3E|x_0|^2 + 6(T - t_0 + 4)K(T - t_0)$ . By the Lemma 5

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x_n(s)|^2 \right) \leq C \exp \left( 6(T - t_0 + 4)\bar{K}(T - t_0) \right)$$

with  $C \geq 1$ . Consequently the required inequality follows by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .



■ **Proof of Theorem 4 : Uniqueness** Let  $x(t)$  and  $\bar{x}(t)$  be two solutions. By the Lemma 6,  $x(t), \bar{x}(t) \in \mathcal{M}^2([t_0, T]; R^d)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t [f(x(s), s) - f(\bar{x}(s), s)] ds + \int_{t_0}^t [g(x(s), s) - g(\bar{x}(s), s)] dB(s). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, Moment inequality and (H1), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon + 2\bar{K}(T - t_0 + 4) \int_{t_0}^t E \sup_{t_0 \leq r \leq s} |x(r) - \bar{x}(r)|^{2\alpha} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Stachurska's inequality, we have

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s) - \bar{x}(s)|^2 \right) = 0.$$

■ **Proof of Theorem 4 : Existence** From Picard sequence (2), we have  $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}^2([t_0, T]; R^d)$ . By induction  $x_n(t) \in \mathcal{M}^2([t_0, T]; R^d)$ , in fact

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x_n(s)|^2 \right) \leq C + 6(T - t_0 + 4)\bar{K} \int_{t_0}^t E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq r \leq s} |x_{n-1}(r)|^{2\alpha} \right) ds,$$

where  $C = 3E|x_0|^2 + 6(T - t_0 + 4)K(T - t_0)$ . It follows note that for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq k} E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x_n(s)|^2 \right) \leq \gamma \exp \left( 6\bar{K}(T - t_0 + 4)(T - t_0) \right)$$

where  $\gamma = C + 6(T - t_0 + 4)E|x_0|^{2\alpha}$ . Since  $k$  is arbitrary, for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we deduce that

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x_n(s)|^2 \right) \leq \gamma \exp \left( 6\bar{K}(T - t_0 + 4)(T - t_0) \right),$$

Next, we check that the sequence  $\{x_n(t)\}$  is Cauchy sequence. For all  $n \geq 0$  and  $t_0 \leq t \leq T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t) \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t [f(x_n(s), s) - f(x_{n-1}(s), s)] ds \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t [g(x_n(s), s) - g(x_{n-1}(s), s)] dB(s). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, Moment inequality and (H1), one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq n \leq k} E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x_{n+1}(s) - x_n(s)|^2 \right) \\ & \leq 2(T - t_0 + 4) \bar{K} \int_{t_0}^t \max_{1 \leq n \leq k} E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq r \leq s} |x_{n+1}(r) - x_n(r)|^{2\alpha} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $z(t) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq n \leq k} E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x_{n+1}(s) - x_n(s)|^2 \right)$ , we get

$$z(t) \leq 2(T - t_0 + 4) \bar{K} \int_{t_0}^t z^\alpha(s) ds.$$

By Itô's inequality, we get  $z(t) = 0$ . This shows the sequence  $\{x_n(t), n \geq 0\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ . Hence, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $x_n(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ , that is  $E|x_n(t) - x(t)|^2 \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, we obtain that  $x(t) \in \mathcal{M}^2([t_0, t]; R^d)$ . Now to show that  $x(t)$  satisfy (1)

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left| \int_{t_0}^t [f(x_n(s), s) - f(x(s), s)] ds + \int_{t_0}^t [g(x_n(s), s) - g(x(s), s)] dB(s) \right|^2 \\ & \leq 2(T - t_0 + 4) \int_{t_0}^t E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq r \leq s} |x_n(r) - x(r)|^{2\alpha} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that sequence  $\{x_n(t)\}$  is uniformly converge on  $[t_0, T]$ , it means that

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x_n(s) - x(s)|^2 \right) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, taking limits on both sides in the Picard sequence, we obtain that

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(x(s), s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t g(x(s), s) dB(s). \text{ on } t_0 \leq t \leq T.$$

**Lemma 7** Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. Let  $x_n(t)$  be the Picard iterations defined by (2). Then

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} |x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)|^2 \right) \leq (M(T - t_0))^{\frac{1-\alpha^n}{1-\alpha}} C^{\alpha^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1-\alpha)^{\alpha^{n-i}}}{(1-\alpha^i)^{\alpha^{n-i}}}$$

where  $M = 2\bar{K}(T - t_0 + 1)$ .

**Theorem 8** Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. Let  $x(t)$  be the unique solution  $x(t)$  of equation (1) and  $x_n(t)$  be the Picard iteration defined by (2). Then

$$E \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} |x_n(t) - x(t)|^2 \right) \leq \gamma_1 \exp(2M(T - t_0))$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $C = 4(T - t_0 + 1)(T - t_0)(K + \bar{K}E|x_0|^2)$  and  $M = 2\bar{K}(T - t_0 + 1)$  and  $\gamma_1 = 2(M(T - t_0))^{\frac{1-\alpha^n}{1-\alpha}} C^{\alpha^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1-\alpha)^{\alpha^{n-i}}}{(1-\alpha^i)^{\alpha^{n-i}}}$ .

### References

[A1] D. Bainov and P. Simeonov, *Integral Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/ Boston/ London (1992).

[A2] X. Mao, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*, Horwood Publication Chichester, UK (2007).

[A3] Y-H. Kim, An existence of the solution to neutral stochastic functional differential equations under the Hölder condition *The Australian J. of Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. 16(Issue 2, Article 4, (2019) 1-10.